

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 EASTERN DIVISION

11 PEDRO QUINTERO ENRIQUES aka 12 MIGUEL ANGEL QUINTERO, 13 14 Petitioner, 15 16 v. 17 BRIAN BIRKHOLTZ, WARDEN, 18 Respondent.	Case No. 2:23-cv-10385-JFW (DTB) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING FAILURE TO UPDATE ADDRESS
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------


19
20 On December 11, 2023, petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
21 herein. (Dkt. # 1.) On December 20, the Court issued an Order Requiring Response
22 to Petition (“Order”). (Dkt. # 5.) In response to the Court’s Order, on January 4,
23 2024, respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Petition for Writ of Habeas
24 Corpus (“Motion”). (Dkt. # 7.) On January 18, 2024, petitioner filed an Amended
25 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (“Amended Petition”) (Dkt. # 9.) On January
26 25, 2024, the Court denied the Motion as moot and ordered respondent to file a
27 response to the Amended Petition. (Dkt. # 11.) As respondent had not filed a
28 response within the allotted time, on February 26, 2024, the Court, *sua sponte*,

1 extended respondent's time within which to do so. (Dkt. # 13.) On February 28,
2 2024, respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss or Transfer of Amended Petition for Writ
3 of Habeas Corpus ("Motion to Dismiss"). (Dkt. # 14.) On April 15, 2024, as
4 petitioner had not filed an opposition to the Motion to Dismiss within the allotted
5 time, the Court, *sua sponte*, extended petitioner's time within which to do so
6 ("04/15/24 Order"). (Dkt. # 16.) On April 29, 2024, the Court received its 04/15/24
7 Order returned from the institution marked as "Return to Sender – Not Deliverable
8 as Addressed – Unable to Forward." (Dkt. # 17.)

9 Pro se litigants are required to keep the Court and opposing parties apprised
10 of their current address. Central District of California Local Rule 41-6. Therefore,
11 petitioner is ordered to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack
12 of prosecution and failing to comply with the Court's Local Rules. Petitioner may
13 alternatively file a Notice of Change of Address to discharge this Order to Show
14 Cause. Petitioner's response is due no later than **May 31, 2024**.

15 **Petitioner is hereby cautioned that failing to comply with this Order to**
16 **Show Cause may result in the recommendation that this action be dismissed for**
17 **failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the Court's Local Rules.**

18
19 Dated: May 7, 2024
20 _____

21 
22 _____
23 DAVID T. BRISTOW
24 United States Magistrate Judge
25
26
27
28